1	Sean C. Chapman	
2	Law Office of Sean C. Chapman, P.C. 100 North Stone Avenue, Suite 701 Tucson, Arizona 85701 Telephone: (520) 622-0747 Fax: (520) 628-7861 Arizona State Bar No. 012088 Attorney for Defendant	
3		
4		
5		
6	Sean@seanchapmanlaw.com	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR 15-1723 TUC RCC (DTF)
10	Plaintiff,))
11) MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE) EVIDENCE OF DEFENDANT'S) ARMY SERVICE AND PERSONNEL) RECORDS)
12	Lonnie Ray Swartz,	
13		
14	Defendant.	
15	It is expected that excludable delay under Title 18, United States Code, §	
16 17	3161(h)(1)(F) will occur because of this motion or an order based thereon.	
18	The Defendant, Lonny Swartz, through undersigned counsel, Sean C. Chapman	
19		
20	of THE LAW OFFICE OF SEAN C. CHAPMAN, P.C., and pursuant to Rules 104, 401	
21	and, 403 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, hereby moves to preclude the Government	
22	from introducing the following evidence at trial: 1) evidence of Agent Swartz's service	
23	and/or discharge from the United States Army; and 2) personnel records concerning	
24	Agent Swartz's performance while he attended the U.S. Border Patrol Academy or was	
25		
26	employed by the U.S. Border Patrol. This motion is made for the following reasons.	

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. FACTS RELEVANT TO THE RESOLUTION OF THIS MOTION

The Government has disclosed documentation relating to two areas the defense now seeks to preclude from introduction at trial, whether documentary or testimonial: Agent Swartz's service/discharge from the U.S. Army, and his personnel records while he was in training or employed by the U.S. Border Patrol.

A. Agent Swartz's Army Service

Agent Swartz enlisted in the U.S. Army on November 7, 1995, when he was 19 years of age. He was discharged on February 18, 1998. The documentation disclosed by the Government indicates that Swartz's discharge was effectuated "under other than honorable conditions" and "in lieu of trial by court-martial." The reason for this disposition was Swartz absenting himself from his military service for a lengthy period of time.¹

B. Agent Swartz's Personnel Records

The Government's Disclosure includes approximately 230 pages of internal, personnel records pertaining to Agent Swartz's training and subsequent employment with the U.S. Border Patrol.² These records include Field Observation Reports, End of Phase Reports, results of various quizzes and exams, results of various proficiency tests, results of standardized tests, performance evaluations and summaries, counseling forms,

¹ Bates No. 4718-4816.

² Bates No. 1481-1712.

///

///

certifications, acknowledgments of various policies, health records, sensitive vital information pertaining to Agent Swartz and his family members, various Memoranda written to and from Agent Swartz, and Records of Instruction.

II. LAW

None of the records described above are relevant to any issue that the jury must decide in this case. The reason for and characterization of Swartz's discharge from the army has no bearing on whether, on October 10, 2012, he acted with criminal intent to commit second degree murder. Similarly, none of the documents in Swartz's personnel file make it any more or less likely that he acted with criminal intent when he discharged his firearm in this case.

Fed. R. Evid. 401 defines relevant evidence as that which has "any tendency to make a fact more or less probable than it would be without the evidence" and "is of consequence in determining the action." Because these subject areas are irrelevant, they are inadmissible at trial. Fed. R. Evid. 402 ("Irrelevant evidence is not admissible.").

III. CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, this Court should enter an order precluding the Government from introducing at trial evidence of Agent Swartz's service and/or discharge from the army, or evidence from his U.S. Border Patrol personnel file.